







1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/699,955	10/30/2000	Dennis P. Barry	1150/0H262 8257		
7	590 03/18/2003				
Darby & Darby PC 805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022			EXAMINER		
			HUNTER, ALVIN A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3711		
	•		DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	D	Applicant(s)			
		09/699,955		BARRY, DENNIS P.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Alvin A. Hunter		3711			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	lanuary 2003					
2a)□		is action is non-	·final.				
3)	, 						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 6-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 6-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requir	rement.				
_	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
44)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
ا ا ا	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
•	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
۵٫۱	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/699,955

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barry (USPN 4093235) in view of Moore (USPN 3642286).

Barry discloses a tourist game for educating players with respect to tourist attractions within particular geographical regions (See Abstract). Barry discloses, word for word, all of the limitation claimed by the applicant except for having a parallelepiped or pyramid shaped multi-tokens means being the same or different colors with directional indicia on their ends. Moore discloses a game having changeable faces in which bear indicia on all sides (See Figures 2 and 3). The indicia possess different characteristics such as different power or capability, direction of movement, etc. (See Abstract and Entire Document). Moore also discloses that the playing pieces may be of different color to differentiate each player's pieces and are stackable (See Column 2, lines 24 through 30; and Column 4, lines 56 through 69). It would appear that stacking the pieces would prevent the pieces from crowding on a particular area of the board. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have indicia on a number of faces or ends of the game pieces, as taught

Application/Control Number: 09/699,955

Art Unit: 3711

by Moore, in order to show the different characteristics of the pieces such as capability and movement. The applicant does not disclose why it is critical for the multi-tokens to be an elongated parallelepiped or pyramid shaped, and therefore, it would be an obvious matter of design choice being that the game pieces of Moore, which are shown as being cubical, to make them of any similar shape such as parallelepiped (cubical) or pyramid shaped. It is inherent within Moore that one would grab the sides of the game piece in order to move the game piece. Though Barry or Moore does not disclose having gripping wings, the applicant does not disclose the criticality of the gripping wings, therefore, would have been an obvious matter of design choice. One having ordinary skill in the art would have expected the sides of Moore to functionally perform the same, which is to provide sides for moving the game piece.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306-5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell, can be reached on (703) 308-2126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9302.

Application/Control Number: 09/699,955

Art Unit: 3711

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Paul T. Sewell Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700